prescribed by the Secretary of Agriculture indicating that it fell below such standard.

On May 8, 1937, a plea of guilty was entered on behalf of the defendant and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

27171. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 129 Pounds of Packing Stock Butter. Default decree of destruction. (F. & D. no. 38894. Sample nos. 30359-C, 30361-C.)

This product contained filth.

On December 16, 1936, the United States attorney for the Western District of Missouri, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 129 pounds of packing stock butter at Kansas City, Mo., alleging that it had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about December 4, 1936, by B. F. Stewart from Cameron, Tex., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in

part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal substance.

On April 3, 1937, no claimant having appeared, and the court having found the allegations of the libel to be true, judgment was entered and it was ordered that the product be destroyed.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

27172. Adulteration of canned tomato puree. U. S. v. The Sheridan Packing Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. no. 38662. Sample no. 12495-C.)

This case involved tomato puree that contained excessive mold.

On April 2, 1937, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Indiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the Sheridan Packing Co., a corporation, Sheridan, Ind., alleging shipment by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act on or about September 14, 1936, from Sheridan, Ind., into the State of Kentucky of a quantity of tomato puree that was adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Sheridan Brand \* \* \* Packed By Sheridan Packing Company Sheridan, Indiana, Tomato Puree."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of

a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable substance.

On April 16, 1937, a plea of guilty was entered on behalf of the defendant and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

27173. Adulteration of canned shrimp. U. S. v. 63 Cases of Canned Shrimp. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. no. 38910. Sample no. 15936–C.)

This product was in part decomposed.

On January 5, 1937, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Florida, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 63 cases of canned shrimp at Tampa, Fla., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 26, 1936, by Camejo Trading Co., from New Orleans, La., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: "Petit Rose Brand \* \* \* Dry Pack Shrimp Packed for Camejo Trading Co., New Orleans, La., Lockport Packing Co., Lockport, La."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed animal substance.

On May 18, 1937, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered ordering that the product be destroyed.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

27174. Misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 147 Cases and 14 Cases of Canned Tomatoes. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond to be relabeled. (F. & D. no. 38911. Sample nos. 26360-C, 26491-C.)

This product was labeled to give the impression that it was an Italian product, when it was of domestic origin. A portion was short in weight.

On January 7, 1937, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in

the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 161 cases of canned tomatoes at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 4 and 17, 1936, by Capolino Food Products Corporation from Merced, Calif., and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. It was labeled variously in part: "Somma Brand, Net Contents 1 lb. 12 oz. [or "15 ozs. avoir."] Italian Peeled Tomatoes \* \* \* Packed in California for Unico Distributing Co. New York."

It was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement "Italian Peeled Tomatoes Pomidoro Pelati Italiani", and the device on the label, of a picture of a monk and pear-shaped tomatoes conveyed the impression that it was an Italian product; whereas it was not an Italian product but was of domestic origin. A portion of the article was alleged to be misbranded further in that the statement "Net Contents 1 Lb. 12 Oz." was false and misleading and tended to deceive and mislead the purchaser when applied to an article that was short in weight; and in that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package by reason of the fact that the quantity stated was not correct.

On April 7, 1937, the Unico Distributing Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of this Department.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

## 27175. Adulteration of tomato paste. U. S. v. 60 Cases and 22 Cases of Tomato Paste. Default decrees of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. nos. 38945, 38946. Sample nos. 15381-C, 15382-C.)

These cases involved canned tomato paste that contained excessive mold.

On January 13, 1937, the United States attorney for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of 82 cases of canned tomato paste at Wilkes-Barre, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce in part on or about October 8, 1933, and in part on or about November 19, 1936, by Stanley Packing Co., Inc., from Forestville, N. Y., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was labeled in part: "Benito Brand with sweet basil tomato

\* \* Packed by Stanley Packing Co., Inc., Forestville, N. Y."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy or decomposed vegetable substance.

On May 14, 1937, no claimant having appeared, judgments of condemnation were entered and it was ordered that the product be destroyed.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

## 27176. Misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 178 Cases and 294 Cases of Canned Tomatoes. Consent decrees of condemnation. Product released under bond to be relabeled. (F. & D. nos. 38960, 38978. Sample nos. 23874—C, 23875—C, 38960—C, 38978—C.)

This product fell below the standard established by this Department for canned tomatoes since it did not consist of whole or large pieces and a portion was not normally colored, and it was not labeled to indicate that it was substandard. The label of one lot was further objectionable since it represented

that the goods were packed by a firm other than the real packer.

On or about January 20 and 22, 1937, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of 472 cases of canned tomatoes at Tacoma, Wash., alleging that they had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 22, 1936, by Walter M. Field Co., from San Francisco, Calif., and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended. A portion of the article was labeled: (Can) "Bohemian Tomatoes with Puree from Trimmings Packed in California U. S. A. Packed and Guaranteed by Bayside Canning Company Alviso Santa Clara Co. California \* \* \*." The remainder was labeled: "Brimfull Brand Tomatoes with puree from trimmings \* Distributed by Kitchen Products Inc. Chicago, Buffalo, San Francisco."

One lot of the article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements, (case) "Bayside Canning Co. Alviso Calif." and (can) "Packed and Guaranteed by Bayside Canning Company Alviso Santa Clara Co. California", were false and misleading and tended to deceive and mislead the purchaser in that